

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|--|-----------------------|-------------------------|----------------------|------------------|--|
| 09/438,436 | 11/12/1999 | JEFFREY MARK ACHTERMANN | AUS919990655US1 9315 | | |
| 35525 IBM CORP (Y | 7590 11/21/2007 A) | 11/21/2007 EXAMINER | | | |
| C/O YEE & ASSOCIATES PC P.O. BOX 802333 | | | TODD, GREGORY G | | |
| DALLAS, TX 75380 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | | 2157 | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE | |
| | | · | 11/21/2007 | PAPER | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | | Applicant(s) | |
|-----------------|-----------------|-------------------|--|
| 09/438,436 | | ACHTERMANN ET AL. | |
| | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | Gregory G. Todd | 2157 | |
| | 0-7 | | |

| | Gregory G. Todd | 2157 | | | |
|---|---|--|---|--|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ears on the cover sheet with the c | correspondence add | ress | | |
| THE REPLY FILED <u>06 November 2007</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS | S APPLICATION IN CONDITION F | OR ALLOWANCE. | | | |
| The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance | n the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o | Appeal. To avoid aba fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C | nce, which FR 41.31; or (3) | | |
| time periods: | | | _ | | |
| a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. | | | | | |
| no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I | ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing | g date of the final rejecti | on. | | |
| Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7 | 06.07(f). | | | | |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL | tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da | of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi | iate extension fee ice action; or (2) as | | |
| 2. 🛮 The Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>02 October 2007</u> . A br | rief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.3 | 37 must be filed within | two months of | | |
| the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any repl | or any extension thereof (37 CFR 4 | 41.37(e)), to avoid dis | missal of the | | |
| AMENDMENTS | y must be med within the time pend | ou set lottil ill st of th | 141.57 (a). | | |
| 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, | but prior to the date of filing a brief. | , will not be entered b | ecause | | |
| (a) They raise new issues that would require further co | | | | | |
| (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo | • • | | | | |
| (c) They are not deemed to place the application in be | tter form for appeal by materially re | ducing or simplifying | the issues for | | |
| appeal; and/or | corresponding number of finally rei | astad alaima | | | |
| (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). | | ected claims. | | | |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.11 | | mpliant Amondment | (DTOL 224) | | |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) | | mphant Amendment | (FTOL-324). | | |
| 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a | | timely filed amendme | ent canceling the | | |
| non-allowable claim(s). | | timely mod amountment | one canceling the | | |
| 7. X For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) | □ will not be entered, or b) □ wi | II be entered and an | explanation of | | |
| how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro | vided below or appended. | | | | |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: | | | | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: | | | | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,2,4,6-13,15,17-24,26 and 28-33</u> . | | | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | | | |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | d sufficient reasons why the affidav | vit or other evidence is | s necessary and | | |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar | overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe | al and/or appellant fa | ils to provide a | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | on of the status of the claims after e | ntry is below or attacl | hed. | | |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by | ut does NOT place the application i | n condition for allowa | nce because: | | |
| See Continuation Sheet. 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). | (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) | | | | |
| 13. Other: | (| | | | |
| | | • | | | |
| · | | | • | | |

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's amendment has overcome the 112 Rejections of 07/02/07. Applicant's reply is non-responsive to the 103 Rejections of 07/02/07 of claims 1, 2, 4, 6-13, 15, 17-24, 26, and 28-33.

ARIO ETIENNE SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100